



Quality and Equality Impact Assessment (QEIA)

Leeds Health and Care Partnership, QEIA template version 2.5, September 2024

To be completed with support from Quality, Equality and Engagement leads; email for all correspondence: wyicb-leeds.qualityteam@nhs.net

Complete all sections (see instructions / comments and consider) Impact Matrix on page 10.

Assessment Completion	Name	Role	Date	Email
Scheme Lead	[Removed for publication]	Pathway Integration Leader	21/11/23	[Removed for publication]
Programme Lead sign off	[Removed for publication]	Head of Pathway Integration	21/11/23	[Removed for publication]

A. Scheme Name	O057 - Discontinuation of investment into Inkwell Arts project	
Type of change	Stop	
ICB	Leeds	

B: Summary of change

Briefly describe the proposed change to the service, why it is being proposed, the expected outcomes and intended benefits, including to patients, the public and ICB finances. Describe in terms of aims; objectives, links to the ICB's strategic plans and other projects, partnership arrangements, and policies (national and regional). Please also include the expected implementation date (or any key dates we need to be aware of).

Discontinuation of non - recurrent funding for the Inkwell Arts project delivered by Leeds Mind. This project delivers a range of arts - based activities for people with mental health issues as part an art therapy approach. Funding for this project was originally picked up by Leeds CCG after a decision was taken by Leeds City Council not to continue investing in the service from 2021 - 22, and more recently was funded through non - recurrent Community Mental Health Transformation monies in 2023 / 24 at an annual value of £60,000.

Although evaluation information provided indicates positive experiences of people accessing activities, there is limited evidence of measured improvements in wellbeing or other outcomes.

The Community Mental Health Transformation Programme has consolidated previous ICB held grants schemes for community-based mental health support and expanded investment into a coordinated and targeted transformation grant funding scheme. This approach aims to support targeted new investment into VCSE organisations, and grassroots community groups, to form an underpinning strategic investment approach to reaching previously underserved communities, and bespoke needs including supporting access to therapeutic creative expression. The scheme is led and delivered in Partnership between Forum Central and Leeds Community Foundation on behalf of the Integrated Care Board (ICB / NHS). Criteria & guidance has been developed to underpin and support how the grants funding schemes are targeted and utilized. The criteria and guidance have been informed by 20 pieces of lived experience insight reports, the feedback of over 100 community groups in Leeds, through 1:1 conversations and themed forum discussions. The process for allocation of grants funding has oversight and input from the Involvement and Advisory Group which forms part of the community mental health transformation governance, and service user's representative of communities in Leeds have voting rights on all panel award decisions.

In exit planning discussions with the ICB, Inkwell Arts were signposted to this ongoing funding opportunity.

The community mental health grants funding scheme has replicated and enhancing provision through combining creative arts with a programme of wellbeing and resilience sessions. A bid was awarded to "Heads, Hands and Hearts - targeted 7 - week structured programme consisting of exploratory wellbeing and resilience session in the morning, followed by an opportunity for creative expression of emotion, values and hopes that have arisen during the sessions, through arts and craft in the afternoon.

C. Service change details – (Involvement and equality checklist)

To be completed in conjunction with:

- Quality Manager: [Removed for publication]
- Equality Lead: [Removed for publication]
- Community Relations and Involvement Manager: [Removed for publication]

Questions (please describe the impact in each section)	Yes / No
1. Could the project change the way a service is currently provided or delivered?	
Yes, potential for the disinvestment to result in a reduction or discontinuation of service provision. This is being clarified with the service provider.	Yes
 Could the project directly affect the services received by patients, carers, and families? – is it likely to specifically affect patients from protected or other groups? See page 10 for more detail. 	
Yes, potential for the disinvestment to result in a reduction or discontinuation of service provision. This is being clarified with the service provider.	Yes
3. Could the project directly affect staff? For example, would staff need to work differently / could it change working patterns, location etc.? Is it likely to specifically affect staff from protected groups?	Yes
Yes, potential for the disinvestment to result in reduction in staff hours or termination of staff contracts.	
4. Does the project build on feedback received from patients, carers, and families, including patient experience? What feedback and include links if available.	Vec
Feedback from services users included in evaluation on has been taken into account when making this decision. Overall feedback shared by service users describe a highly positive experience of the service provision.	Yes

D: To be completed in conjunction with the involvement and equality lead

Insert comments in each section as required	Yes / No
Involvement activity required? It is expected that any engagement activity required will be led by Leeds Mind dependent on the level of impact on service delivery identified.	?
Formal consultation activity required? It is not felt that formal consultation is required regarding this decision.	No
Full Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) required? An EIA is not required due to the scale and numbers of people who are affected.	No
Communication activity required (patients or staff)? Expected that any communication activity required will be undertaken by Leeds Mind. Communication activity through community mental health transformation programme relating to alternative offers for creative expression available through grants funding schemes.	Yes

E. Data Protection Impact Assessment (DPIA)

A DPIA is carried out to identify and minimise data protection risks when personal data is going to be used and processed as part of new processes, systems, or technologies.

Question	Yes / No
Does this project / decision involve a new use of personal data, a change of process or a significant change in the way in which personal data is handled?	No
If yes, please email the IG Team at; <u>wyicb-leeds.dpo@nhs.net</u> for Leeds ICB or <u>wyicb-wak.informationgovernance@nhs.net</u> for the wider West Yorkshire ICB, to complete the screening form.	NO

F. Evidence used in this assessment

List any evidence which has been used to inform the development of this proposal for example, any national guidance (e.g. NICE, Care Quality Commission, Department of Health, Royal Colleges), regional or local strategies, data analysis (e.g. performance data), engagement / consultation with partner agencies, interest groups, or patients.

Where applicable, state 'N/A' (not applicable) in boxes where no evidence exists, 'Not yet collected' where information has not yet been collected or delete where appropriate.

Evidence Source	Details
Research and guidance (local, regional, national)	Our ambitions are detailed within the NHS Long Term plan for delivery of mental health services for people with complex needs.
Service delivery data such as who receives services	Service evaluation data shared by Leeds Mind.
Consultation / engagement	N/A
Experience of care intelligence, knowledge, and insight (complaints, compliments, PALS, National and Local Surveys, Friends and Family Test, consultation outcomes)	Service evaluation data shared by Leeds Mind provides information on people's experience of support provided.
Other	

G. Impact Assessment: Quality, Equality, Health Inequalities, Safeguarding

What is the potential impact on quality of the proposed change? Outline the expected outcomes and who is intended to benefit. Include all potential impacts (positive, negative, or neutral).

For negative impacts, list the action that will be taken in mitigation. See guidance notes on pages 10 -11.

Quality Domain The list in each domain is not exhaustive; it is illustrative of the type of impact that should be considered. When describing impacts; use words that you consider are meaningful)	Quality elements and description of impact Where appropriate provide information about the proposed or current service that contextualises the impact. (Quantify where possible, e.g. number of patients affected) (List and number if more than one in each domain)	Impact: Positive / Negative / Neutral and score (Assess each impact using the Impact Matrix; colour cell RAG)	What action will you take to mitigate any negative impact? How could the impacts and / or mitigating actions be monitored? Are there any communications or involvement considerations or requirements?
1. Patient Safety	Due to the nature of the service, it is not anticipated that this will have an impact on patient safety.	0 - Neutral	
2. Experience of care	Acknowledge that there will be an impact on the service users that accessed this provision, and the therapeutic impact of creative arts in supporting and maintaining recovery in MH	1 - Neutral	In mitigation the community mental health transformation programme has significantly invested in and expanded the range of community-based support through the Transforming Mental Health Grant Fund, a grant funding scheme for small to medium local organisations and grass roots community groups who offer support for people with complex and ongoing mental health needs. This is being jointly

Quality Domain The list in each domain is not exhaustive; it is illustrative of the type of impact that should be considered. When describing impacts; use words that you consider are meaningful)	Quality elements and description of impact Where appropriate provide information about the proposed or current service that contextualises the impact. (Quantify where possible, e.g. number of patients affected) (List and number if more than one in each domain)	Impact: Positive / Negative / Neutral and score (Assess each impact using the Impact Matrix; colour cell RAG)	What action will you take to mitigate any negative impact? How could the impacts and / or mitigating actions be monitored? Are there any communications or involvement considerations or requirements?
			 led and delivered by Forum Central and Leeds Community Foundation. Whilst this project has not specifically been maintained, the range and scope of projects progressed to date through the grant funding have incorporated some activity based and creative options for support. lived experience advisors have been directly involved as voting members on the grants funding award panel. The community mental health transformation programme will maintain oversight of experience and outcomes of care (including the grants funding impacts) The programme has:

Quality Domain The list in each domain is not exhaustive; it is illustrative of the type of impact that should be considered. When describing impacts; use words that you consider are meaningful)	Quality elements and description of impact Where appropriate provide information about the proposed or current service that contextualises the impact. (Quantify where possible, e.g. number of patients affected) (List and number if more than one in each domain)	Impact: Positive / Negative / Neutral and score (Assess each impact using the Impact Matrix; colour cell RAG)	What action will you take to mitigate any negative impact? How could the impacts and / or mitigating actions be monitored? Are there any communications or involvement considerations or requirements?
			 Recruited an involvement worker (through Health for All as trusted VCSE partner) to specifically build relationships to engage and work with racialised communities on an ongoing basis. An established and representative involvement Network, made up of people with lived experience of mental health need/service use, and carers/family members of people who need and/or access mental health services. The community mental health transformation Partnership Board has maintained and Involvement and Engagement Advisory Group within the formal

Quality Domain The list in each domain is not exhaustive; it is illustrative of the type of impact that should be considered. When describing impacts; use words that you consider are meaningful)	Quality elements and description of impact Where appropriate provide information about the proposed or current service that contextualises the impact. (Quantify where possible, e.g. number of patients affected) (List and number if more than one in each domain)	Impact: Positive / Negative / Neutral and score (Assess each impact using the Impact Matrix; colour cell RAG)	What action will you take to mitigate any negative impact? How could the impacts and / or mitigating actions be monitored? Are there any communications or involvement considerations or requirements?
			maintain oversight of experience and outcomes.
3. Clinical Effectiveness	Due to the nature of the service it is not anticipated that this will have an impact on clinical effectiveness.	0 - Neutral	
4. Equality		0 - Neutral	See health inequalities section below
5. Safeguarding	Due to the nature of the service it is not anticipated that this will have an impact on safeguarding.	0 - Neutral	
6. Workforce	Provider has confirmed that 2 staff were directly affected. One was redeployed into another role in the organisation, and there was one redundancy as no other suitable alternative post available.	-3 - Moderate	

Quality Domain The list in each domain is not exhaustive; it is illustrative of the type of impact that should be considered. When describing impacts; use words that you consider are meaningful)	Quality elements and description of impact Where appropriate provide information about the proposed or current service that contextualises the impact. (Quantify where possible, e.g. number of patients affected) (List and number if more than one in each domain)	Impact: Positive / Negative / Neutral and score (Assess each impact using the Impact Matrix; colour cell RAG)	What action will you take to mitigate any negative impact? How could the impacts and / or mitigating actions be monitored? Are there any communications or involvement considerations or requirements?
7. Health inequalities		0 - Neutral	Detailed and comprehensive EIA for community mental health transformation (inclusive of the approach to grants funding scheme and protected characteristics) undertaken involving equality lead from ICB in Leeds impact and effectiveness overseen and reviewed through the CMHTr Partnership Board and sub-groups.
8. Sustainability	Reduction in this low - level investment for a national organisation has not impacted sustainability of MIND	0 - Neutral	
9. Other			

H. Action Plan

Describe the action that will be taken to mitigate negative impacts.

Identified impact	What action will you take to mitigate the impact?	How will you measure impact / monitor progress? (Include all identified positive and negative impacts. Measurement may be an existing or new quality indicator / KPI)	Timescale (When will mitigating action be completed?)	Lead (Person responsible for implementing mitigating action)
No specific impacts requiring action identified to date	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

I. Monitoring and review; Implementation of action plan and proposal

The action plan should be monitored regularly to ensure:

- a. actions required to mitigate negative impacts are undertaken.
- b. KPIs / quality indicators are measured in a timely manner so positive and negative impacts can be evaluated during implementation / the period of service delivery.

Outcome: Once the proposal has been implemented, the actual impacts will need to be evaluated and a judgement made as to whether the intended outcomes of the proposal were achieved (<u>Section H</u> to be completed as agreed following implementation)

Implementation: State who will monitor / review	Name of individual, group or committee	Role	Frequency
a. that actions to mitigate negative impacts have been taken.	a. This will be reviewed through ICB Mental Health Contracts review group	To review any impacts identified that will be resulting from the change.	Meets monthly
b. the quality indicators during the period of service delivery. State the frequency of monitoring (e.g. Recovery Group Monthly,	b.		

Implementation: State who will monitor / review	Name of individual, group or committee	Role	Frequency
QSC Quarterly, J. Bloggs, Project Manager Unplanned Care Monthly			

Outcome	Name of individual, group or committee	Role	Date
Who will review the proposal once the change has been implemented to determine what the actual impacts were?	Mental Health Population Board	To review any impacts identified that will be resulting from the change.	January 2024

J. Summary of the QEIA

Provide a brief summary of the results of the QEIA, e.g. highlight positive and negative potential impacts; indicate if any impacts can be mitigated. Taking this into account, state what the overall expected impact will be of the proposed change.

The QEIA and summary statement must be reviewed by a member of the Quality Team and include next steps.

The full impacts of the change are not yet fully known, pending further information from the provider organisation on exit planning. No specific impacts that need mitigating have been identified to date, however this will be reviewed again upon any further intelligence being shared by the provider.

K: For Team use only

1. Reference	XX /
2. Form completed by (names and roles)	
3. Quality and equality review completed by:	Name: [Removed for publication] Name: [Removed for publication]
4. Date form / scheme agreed for governance	Reviewed at Panel Assurance meeting: 16/05/2024
5. Proposed review date (6 months post implementation date)	To be agreed with Pathway Integration/Programme or scheme lead
6. Notes	Involvement team reviewed - 10/04/2024

L: Likely financial impact of the change (and / or level of risk to the ICB)

Level of risk to the ICB
Low
Medium
High

M: Approval to proceed

Approval to proceed	Name / Role	Yes / No	Date
PMO / PI / Director			
Proposed 6-month review date (post implementation)	To be agreed with Pathway Integration / Programme or scheme lead		

N: Review

To be completed following implementation only.

1. Review completed by	
2. Date of Review	
3. Scheme start date	

4. Were the proposed mitigations effective? (If not why not, and what further actions have been taken to mitigate?)

5. Is there any intelligence / service user feedback following the change of the service?

If yes, where is this being shared and have any necessary actions been taken because of this feedback?

6. Overall conclusion

Please provide brief feedback of scheme, i.e. its function, what went well and what didn't.

7. What are the next steps following the completion of the review?

i.e. Future plans, further involvement / consultation required?

Appendix A: Impact Matrix

This matrix is included to help your thinking and determine the level of impact on each area.

Likelihood

Score	Likelihood	Regularity
0	Not applicable	
1	Rare	Not expected to occur for years, will occur in exceptional circumstances.
2	Unlikely	Expected to occur at least annually. Unlikely to occur
3	Possible	Expected to occur at least monthly. Reasonable chance of
4	Likely	Expected to occur at least weekly. Likely to occur.
5	Almost certain	Expected to occur at least daily. More likely to occur than not.

Scoring matrix

- **Opportunity**: 5 to 0
- **Consequence**: -1 to 5

Likelihood	5	4	3	2	1	0	-1	-2	-3	-4	-5
5	25	20	15	10	5	0	-5	-10	-15	-20	-25
4	20	16	12	8	4	0	-4	-8	-12	-16	-20
3	15	12	9	6	3	0	-3	-6	-9	-12	-15
2	10	8	6	4	2	0	-2	-4	-6	-8	-10
1	5	4	3	2	1	0	-1	-2	-3	-4	-5

Category

Opportunity

Low – moderate risk

High risk

Opportunity and consequence

Impact	Score	Rating	The proposed change is anticipated to lead to the following level of opportunity and / or consequence
	5	Excellence	Multiple enhanced benefits including excellent improvement in access, experience and / our outcomes for all patients, families, and carers. Outstanding reduction in health inequalities by narrowing the gap in access, experience and / or outcomes between people with protected characteristics and the general population. Leading to consistently improvement standards of experience and an enhancement of public confidence, significant improvements to performance and an improved and sustainable workforce.
	4	Major	Major benefits leading to long-term improvements and access, experience and / our outcomes for people with this protected characteristic. Major reduction in health inequalities by narrowing the gap in access, experience and / our outcomes between people with this protected characteristic and the general population. Benefits include improvements in management of patients with long-term effects and compliance with national standards.
Positive	3	Moderate	Moderate benefits requiring professional intervention with moderate improvement in access, experience and / or outcomes for people with this protected characteristic. Moderate reduction in health inequalities by narrowing the gap in access, experience and / or outcomes between people with this protected characteristic and the general population.
	2	Minor	Minor improvement in access, experience and / or outcomes for people with this protected characteristic. Minor reduction in health inequalities by narrowing the gap in access, experience and / or outcomes between people with this protected characteristic and the general population.
	1 Neç	Negligible	Minimal benefit requiring no / minimal intervention or treatment. Negligible improvements in access, experience and / or outcomes for people with this protected characteristic. Negligible reduction in health inequalities by narrowing the gap in access, experience and / or outcomes between people with this protected characteristic and the general population.
Neutral	0	Neutral	No effect either positive or negative.

Impact	Score	Rating	The proposed change is anticipated to lead to the following level of opportunity and / or consequence
	-1	Negligible	Negligible negative impact on access, experience and / or outcomes for people with this protected characteristic. Negligible increase in health inequalities by widening the gap in access, experience and / or outcomes between people with this protected characteristic and the general population. Potential to result in minimal injury requiring no / minimal intervention or treatment, peripheral element of treatment, suboptimal and / or informal complaint / inquiry.
	-2	Minor	Minor negative impact on access, experience and / our outcomes for people with this protected characteristic. Minor increase in health inequalities by widening the gap in access, experience and / or outcomes between people with this protected characteristic and the general population. Potential to result in minor injury or illness, requiring minor intervention and overall treatment suboptimal.
Negative	-3	Moderate	Moderate negative impact on access ,experience and / or outcomes for people with this protected characteristic. Moderate increase in health inequalities by widening the gap in access, experience and / or outcomes between people with this protected characteristic and the general population. Potential to result in moderate injury requiring professional intervention.
	-4	Major	Major negative impact on access, experience and / or outcomes for people with this protected characteristic. Major increase in health inequalities by widening the gap in access, experience and / or outcomes between people with this protected characteristic and the general population. Potential to lead to major injury, leading to long-term incapacity / disability.
	-5	Catastrophic	Catastrophic negative impact on access, experience and / or outcomes for people with this protected characteristic. Catastrophic increase in health inequalities by widening the gap in access, experience and / or outcomes between people with this protected characteristic and the general population. Potential to result in incident leading to death, multiple permanent injuries or irreversible health effectis, an event which impacts on a large number of patients, totally unacceptable level of effectiveness or treatment, gross failure of experience and does not meet required standards.

Appendix B: Guidance notes on completing the impacts section G

Domain	Consider
1. Patient Safety	 Safe environment. Preventable harm. Reliability of safety systems. Systems and processes to prevent healthcare acquired infection. Clinical workforce capability and appropriate training and skills. Provider's meeting CQC Essential Standards.
2. Experience of care	 Respect for person-centred values, preferences, and expressed needs, including cultural issues; the dignity, privacy, and independence of service users; quality-of-life issues; and shared decision making. Coordination and integration of care across the health and social care system. Information, communication, and education on clinical status, progress, prognosis, and processes of care to facilitate autonomy, self-care, and health promotion. Physical comfort including pain management, help with activities of daily living, and clean and comfortable surroundings. Emotional support and alleviation of fear and anxiety about such issues as clinical status, prognosis, and the impact of illness on patients, their families, and their finances. Co-produce with the population and service users as the default position for project design. Use what we know from insight and feedback in project design and be explicit in the expected outcomes for experience of care improvements. Involvement of family and friends, on whom patients and service users rely, in decision-making and demonstrating awareness and accommodation of their needs as caregivers. Transition and continuity as regards information that will help patients care for themselves away from a clinical setting, and coordination, planning, and support to ease transitions. Access to care e.g., time spent waiting for admission, time between admission and placement in an in-patient setting, waiting time for an appointment or visit in the out-patient, primary care or social care setting. [Adapted from the NHS Patient Experience Framework, DoH 2011] revised in: <u>https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/nhsi-patient-experience-improvement-framework.pdf</u>

3. Clinical Effectiveness	 Implementation of evidence-based practice (NICE, pathways, royal colleges etc.). Clinical leadership. Care delivered in most clinically and cost-effective setting. Variations in care. The quality of information collected and the systems for monitoring clinical quality. Locally agreed care pathways. Clinical engagement. Elimination of inefficiency and waste. Service innovation. Reliability and responsiveness. Accelerating adoption and diffusion of innovation and care pathway improvement. Preventing people dying prematurely. Enhancing quality of life. Helping people recover from episodes of ill health or following injury.
4. Equality	 In order to answer section C and G4 the groups that need consideration are (use the links for more information): Age: https://www.equalityhumanrights.com/equality/equality-act- 2010/your-rights-under-equality-act-2010/age-discrimination Disability: https://www.equalityhumanrights.com/equality/equality- act-2010/your-rights-under-equality-act-2010/disability- discrimination Gender reassignment: https://www.equalityhumanrights.com/equality/equality-act- 2010/your-rights-under-equality-act-2010/gender-reassignment- discrimination Pregnancy and maternity: https://www.equalityhumanrights.com/en/our-work/managing- pregnancy-and-maternity-workplace Race: https://www.equalityhumanrights.com/equality/equality-act- 2010/your-rights-under-equality-act-2010/race-discrimination Religion or belief: https://www.equalityhumanrights.com/equality/equality-act- 2010/your-rights-under-equality-act-2010/race-discrimination Sex: https://www.equalityhumanrights.com/equality/equality-act- 2010/your-rights-under-equality-act-2010/race-discrimination Sex: https://www.equalityhumanrights.com/equality/equality-act- 2010/your-rights-under-equality-act-2010/religion-or-belief- discrimination Sex: https://www.equalityhumanrights.com/equality/equality-act- 2010/your-rights-under-equality-act-2010/sex-discrimination

	 Other groups would include, but not be limited to, people who are: Carers. Homeless. Living in poverty. Asylum seekers / refugees. In stigmatised occupations (e.g. sex workers). Problem substance use.
	Geographically isolated (e.g. rural).People surviving abuse.
8. Safeguarding	 Will this impact on the duty to safeguard children, young people, and adults at risk? Will this have an impact on Human Rights – for example any increased restrictions on their liberty?
9. Workforce	 Staffing levels. Morale. Workload. Sustainability of service due to workforce changes (Attach key documents where appropriate).
10. Health Inequalities	 Health status, for example, life expectancy. access to care, for example, availability of given services. behavioural risks to health, for example, smoking rates. wider determinants of health, for example, quality of housing.
	See: https://www.bma.org.uk/media/3464/bma-climate-change-and- sustainability-paper-october-2020.pdf
11. Sustainability	Climate change poses a major threat to our health as well as our planet. The environment is changing, that change is accelerating, and this has direct and immediate consequences for our patients, the public and the NHS.
	Also consider; technology, pharmaceuticals, transport, supply/purchasing, waste, building / sites, and impact of carbon emissions.
	Visit Greener NHS for more info: https://www.england.nhs.uk/greenernhs/
12. Other	 Publicity / reputation. Percentage over / under performance against existing budget. Finance including claims.